WO

DATE: July 28, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

| _ | | DI | STRICT OF ARIZO | ONA | _ | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| United States of America | | | | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL | | |
| | | V. | | | | |
| | Ηι | umberto Davila-Arce | _ Case Nui | mber: | 15-1550MJ | |
| was pre | esent an | | de by a preponderance of | | een submitted to the Court. Defendant e that the defendant is a serious flight | |
| I find by | , a prop | onderance of the evidence that: | FINDINGS OF FACT | | | |
| i iiiu by | | | for normanant racidanae | | | |
| | | The defendant is lawfully admitted for permanent residence. | | | | |
| | The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs | | | | | |
| | Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. | | | | | |
| | The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. | | | | | |
| | The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. | | | | | |
| | | The defendant has a prior criminal | history. | | | |
| | \boxtimes | The defendant lives/works in Mexic | 00. | | | |
| | | The defendant is an amnesty appli substantial family ties to Mexico. | cant but has no substanti | ial ties in Ariz | zona or in the United States and has | |
| | | There is a record of prior failure to | appear in court as ordere | ed. | | |
| | | The defendant attempted to evade | law enforcement contact | t by fleeing fr | om law enforcement. | |
| | | The defendant is facing a maximum | n of | years im | prisonment. | |
| Court a | The Co | ourt incorporates by reference the man of the hearing in this matter, exce | aterial findings of the Pre pt as noted in the record. | trial Services | Agency which were reviewed by the | |
| | | | CONCLUSIONS OF LA | W | | |
| | 1. 2. | There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cor | | ssure the app | pearance of the defendant as required. | |
| | | | TIONS REGARDING DE | | | |
| pending order o | rections g appea f a court shall del | s facility separate, to the extent pract I. The defendant shall be afforded a t of the United States or on request o liver the defendant to the United Sta | cicable, from persons awa reasonable opportunity for of an attorney for the Gov tes Marshal for the purpo | aiting or servi for private co vernment, the use of an app | signated representative for confinement ng sentences or being held in custody nsultation with defense counsel. On e person in charge of the corrections earance in connection with a court | |
| proceed | Ū | | LS AND THIRD PARTY | | intriet Court it is councel's responsibility | |
| District from the objection | er a cop Court. e date o | by of the motion for review/reconside Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRII of service of a copy of this order or at the district court. Failure to timely fi | ration to Pretrial Services M.P., effective December fter the oral order is stated | s at least one 1, 2009, Def d on the reco | istrict Court, it is counsel's responsibility day prior to the hearing set before the fendant shall have fourteen (14) days ord within which to file specific written 59(a) may waive the right to review. | |
| | Service | URTHER ORDERED that if a releases sufficiently in advance of the hear investigate the potential third party cu | ing before the District Co | | it is counsel's responsibility to notify retrial Services an opportunity to | |

Eileen S. Willett United States Magistrate Judge